

Appendix 14 - Part 3

'60 Minutes': An Interview With President Obama That Confirms the Coalition's Preparing a Military Intervention of Canada to Effect Covert Regime Change

Patience is a virtue, but unlimited patience when the circumstances involve the most serious threat to world peace, security and prosperity is inconceivable. With Chinada representing a threat a thousand times more menacing than al-Qaeda will ever be, it's actually quite surprising not more has been done to contain China and fix Canada.

But that's the nature of 21st century diplomacy: conduct a protracted campaign and hope there will be breakthroughs. When tolerance for intransigence is exhausted, give it some more time trusting that rational attitudes amongst the belligerent will emerge. Then after a period of time that would drive the politically inexperienced round the bend, spend even more time and energy trying to convince those violating domestic and international law with reckless abandon it's not in their best interests and punitive measures will be effected if there's no behavioral change. That's Iran and North Korea.

These two rogue and failed states are in a completely different category than China and Canada. They are geographically small, globally insignificant because they have little an international military or economic reach and possess no clout. The Chinada High Command, by sharp contrast, is the total opposite. And that is why when diplomacy proves a failure and protracted patience runs out, it will be game over in a blink of an eye for those persisting with all manner of illegality north of the 49th Parallel.

The Canadian lawyer has never harbored a scintilla of doubt that the coalition wouldn't move against the malfeasant parties and effect a fatal blow to the *status quo*. That's why he's pursued the dissemination initiative and triggered every single accountability mechanisms never caring what happened in terms of hostility and non-cooperation, reform sabotage, character assassination and all the rest. He's observed as one does a family member or close friend how tenaciously resolved the coalition partnership is to procuring fundamental institutional reform in Canada, hold the pubescent sociopaths who've now become borderline psychopaths to full account and give an unceremonial boot to unlawful Chinese interests, including military and intelligence personnel.

That a military intervention is ready to go was put into the diplomatic record again on December 13, 2009 during a '60 Minutes' interview involving President Obama.

The set and wardrobe were chosen to reflect the matter – consisting of the lexiconic colors of Chinada.



And interviewer Steve Kroft employed the lexicon with precision and confidence to articulate that the coalition's coming and not only is there nothing to stop it, everyone who thought they were invincible, insulated and immune are going to find out the hard way just how much of a sting there is to international justice. The crux of the diplomacy is in this excerpt:

And one of the mistakes that was made over the last eight years is for us to have [2:17*: Kroft: CBS M.; Erin M.] **a triumphant sense about war.**

There was a tendency to say, "**We can go in.** [Kroft: Staul M.] We can kick some tail. This is some glorious exercise."

* contains all three primary lexiconic numbers: **3, 5 & 8**

There was such a close attention to geo-detail, an extra segment was added "Why This War?" and timed to be 1:53 to draw attention to what the compensation ratifier relates to: institutionalized and militarized enslaving human experimentation that led to global hegemony-seeking stealth cognition technologies. Video stills of Steve's lexiconic gestures are added to the transcript below.

Obama Defends Afghanistan Timetable Plan

Gives His First Extensive Interview Since the Announcement of His Troop Build-Up in Afghanistan

[View video](#)

As President Obama approaches his first anniversary in the White House, some of the public's enthusiasm for his [0:08: 60 MM] ambitious agenda at home and abroad is on the wane. While he helped avert a worldwide financial collapse, and may well achieve his goal of health care reform during his first year in office, the U.S. economy is still very weak with double digit unemployment, and his approval ratings are at the lowest point of his presidency.

[0:27: 60 MM] This past week, before he left for Europe to accept the Nobel Peace Prize, we sat down with the president in the Map Room at the White House for a

wide ranging discussion, much of it focused on his decision to send an additional 30,000 troops to Afghanistan.

Steve Kroft: Was that the most difficult decision of your presidency so far?
President Barack Obama: Absolutely.

Kroft: Why?

Obama: Because when you go to Walter Reed and you travel to Dover and you visit Arlington and you see the sacrifices that young men and women and their families are making there is nothing more profound. And it is a solemn obligation on the part of me as commander in chief to get those decisions right.

Kroft: In your West Point speech, you seemed very analytical, detached, not emotional. The tone seemed to be, "I've studied this situation very hard. It's a real mess. The options aren't very good. But we need to go ahead and do this." There were no exhortations or promises of victory. [1:44: CBS M.] Why? Why that tone?



Obama: You know, that was actually probably the most emotional speech that I've made, in terms of how I felt about it. Because I was looking out over a group of cadets, some of whom were gonna be deployed in Afghanistan. And potentially some might not come back. There is not a speech that I've made that hit me in the gut as much as that speech.

And one of the mistakes that was made over the last eight years is for us to have [2:17: Kroft: CBS M.; Erin M.] **a triumphant sense about war.**



There was a tendency to say, "**We can go in. [Kroft: Staul M.]** We can kick some tail. This is some glorious exercise." When in fact, this is a tough business.

Kroft: Most Americans right now don't believe this war is worth fighting. And most of the people in your party don't believe this is a war worth fighting.

Obama: Right.

Kroft: Why did you go ahead?

Obama: Because I think it's the right thing to do. And that's my job. If I was worried about what polled well, there are a whole bunch of things we wouldn't have done this year.

Kroft: Do you feel like you've staked your presidency on it?

Obama: There are a whole bunch of things that I've staked my presidency on, right. That are tough, and entail some risks. There's no guarantees. But that I'm confident we have addressed in the best possible way.

Kroft: The West Point speech was greeted, it was greeted with a great deal of confusion.

Obama: I disagree with that statement.

Kroft: You do?

Obama: I absolutely do. Forty million people watched it. And I think a whole bunch of people understood what we intend to do.

Kroft: But it raised a lot of questions.

Obama: Now, it-

Kroft: Some people thought it was contradictory. That's a fair criticism [3:26: Prince Harry M.].



Obama: I don't think it's a fair criticism. I think that what you may be referring to is the fact that on the one hand I said, "We're gonna be sending in additional troops now." On the other hand, "By July 2011, we're gonna move into a transition phase where we're drawing our troops down."

Kroft: Right.

Obama: There shouldn't be anything confusing about that. That's-

Kroft: Well-

Obama: First of all, that's something that we executed over the last two years in Iraq. So, I think the American people are familiar with the idea of a surge. In terms of the rationale for doing it, we don't have an Afghan military right now, security force, that can stabilize the country. If we are effective over the next two years, that then frees us up to transition into a place where we can start drawing down.


Now, the other point of confusion I think that at least the press has identified is this notion of, "Well, what happens on July 2011?"

Kroft: Right. What does happen?

Obama: And what I've said is that we then start transitioning into a draw down phase. How many U.S. troops are coming out, how quickly will be determined by conditions on the ground.

Kroft: So, if the situation is not going well in July of 2011, you can decide - and I'm not making light of this - to send home the band and a couple of civil affairs units and non-essential units and keep as many combat people on the ground as are necessary to perform the mission.

Obama: Well look, as commander in chief, obviously, I reserve the option to do what I think is gonna be best for the American people at that point in time. And our national security. But we will know, I think, by the end of December 2010 whether or not the approach that General McChrystal has discussed in terms of securing population centers is [5:12: Erin M.] meeting its objectives. And if the approach that's been recommended doesn't work, then yes, we're gonna be changing approaches.



Kroft: Why set a deadline? I mean, Senator McCain, most prominent.

Obama: Right. And the answer is that in the absence of a deadline, the message we are sending to the Afghans is, "It's business as usual. This is an open-ended commitment." And very frankly there are I think elements in Afghanistan who would be perfectly satisfied to make Afghanistan a permanent protectorate of the United States. In which they carry no burden. In which we're paying for a military in Afghanistan that preserves their security and their prerogatives. That's not what the American people signed off for when they went into Afghanistan in 2001. They signed up to go after al Qaeda.

Kroft: The main reason we're doing this is al Qaeda, why send 30,000 troops to Afghanistan, because according to your government's own estimates, there may be fewer than 100 al Qaeda fighters in Afghanistan. That the rest are in Pakistan and the tribal territories.

[...]

In Afghanistan, you can make the argument that it's not really even a country, that it is a collection of tribes. That and it is run really by a very corrupt government, some of whose major figures are alleged to be involved in the drug business,

including the brother of the president. [8:13: Erin M.] How are you gonna deal with this?



Obama: (LAUGHS) Look, I -

Kroft: I mean, how are you gonna do this?

And there's not a question that you asked that I haven't asked in meetings, and that I don't ask myself. I don't have the luxury of choosing between the ideal and what exists on the ground. I have to make decisions based on how, given where we are right now, how do we get to the best possible place.

Kroft: Okay. Let's change the subject.

Obama: Okay, why not.

'CBS Evening News' & '60 Minutes': Purge, Prison & Poverty

That was the combined communiqué delivered by these two divisions of Viacom Inc on December 18 and 20, 2009. For those in Canada who remain complicit and loyal to the Chinada agenda, it spells a very bleak future. For the public interest protective, it means a country no longer run by sociopathic and psychopathic political and corporate leaders and faceless bureaucrats biased judges, greedy lawyers and corrupt police; it means a halt to the widening prosperity gap; it means helping a million families living in poverty; it means delivering equity – the Canadian dream – to hundreds of thousands of aboriginals who remain in Third World conditions; and it means reversing a revolting homeless problem.

If there's one sub-faction within Canada's factionless system of governance the Canadian lawyer going to dedicate the next few years to cleaning up it's the administration of justice, in particular all the judges, lawyers and law deans who have so lost their humanity that they individually and collectively let one of their own suffer in a two-decade nihilistic hellhole after learning of his plight. They, like the rest of the Chinada complicit and loyal, are enemies of the Canadian state and must be rounded up and black hole renditioned – *en masse* shipped off to the face the music and either never to return to mainstream society again or released only when they're frail and grey and no longer a menace to the citizenry or the world.

What makes their arrest and prosecution risk neutral is the fact none of them have a public profile. They're not like premiers, cabinet ministers or the chairmen and CEOs of major corporations. They operate in their respective environments in complete anonymity; and upon their departure their positions will be filled by immediate subordinates; all of whom have been or will be edified and will thereby understand why these sewer rats are around one day and gone the next.

Two divisions of Viacom Inc.'s CBS asset have made it absolutely clear over and over what the coalition plans to do to these incorrigibles. They did so again two days apart in mid-December 2009. On the 18th Katie Couric's team previewed a segment about orphans, which contained the incarceration communiqué and then ran it with even more in-your-belligerent-face prison certainty. The story was about orphans, so the sub-text impressed upon those who are in the process of being forcefully evicted from their posts and pushed through the international justice mill their children will be left without a parent and in some cases parents and without money and a home.

The issue of collateral damage has already been addressed by the coalition* – viewed as an unfortunate but inescapable necessity given the nature of the Chinada threat and the arrogance of those who think they can perpetuate it and avoid consequences.

* Documented in *Coalition Partners Put the Minimally Guilty and Unwaveringly Loyal on Notice What Awaits Them After Covert Regime Change*. This is a video still from the segment [@ 18:36]:



[View video](#)

The first story on '60 Minutes' on the 20th was about an American town hit hard by the recession – the perfect context in which to underscore employment termination and appointment revocation. But in this instance producers took the communiqué one step further – full asset seizure, leaving families totally destitute.

This week marks the third Christmas of the Great Recession. The decline that began in December 2007 has destroyed more than seven million jobs. But that's just the [0:**12**: 60 MM] half of it...

[View video](#)

'60 Minutes': Servicing the Canadian Lawyer International Persona and a Double Gun to the Head Threat of Lethal Military Force and Capital Punishment

Week after week, month after month and year after year '60 Minutes' has produced communiqués in virtually every segment of every broadcast. That's another example of the tenacious resolve amongst the coalition partners to achieve stated objectives. Two of the three stories that aired on February 21, 2010 continued that tradition.

The number and range of topics covered by this wing of the CBS network is truly outstanding. What it says is that the threat posed by the China-Canada military alliance is profoundly serious; and everything must be done to contain this menace and fix one of its sources – Canada's system of governance, the administration of justice and those constituents of the private sector that helps finance and supports the Chinada agenda.

Scott's story on construction woes where the World Trade Centre stood included two communiqués of note. The first comes during the introduction when he employs an embellished 60 Minutes M. to underscore that Dogville is "Ground Zero" for challenging Chinada's global hegemony agenda. That's the case for several reasons: (i) that's where stealth cognition technologies were developed between 1994 and 2010; (ii) the Canadian lawyer is resident there, as is the East-West Corridor of Diplomacy; and (iii) the Chinada malfeasant like in May 2006 chose the city as where they would repeatedly brand themselves as Satanists.


This was the year that Ground Zero was supposed to be transformed into a soaring statement of American spirit. The site of the 9/11 attack on New York was to be a world landmark, bigger than the World Trade Center before it. Plans were announced for America's tallest tower, its most beautiful rail station and among its most solemn monuments.

So we wondered: [60 MM] why is Ground Zero still a hole in the ground?



Later, employing a Prince Harry M. he red flagged the word "pedestal" to describe on what the Canadian lawyer has been elevated to help achieve stated objectives.

"[The design] had become, sadly, much more ordinary. The NYPD required that it be elevated 200 feet above the street to protect it," Goldberger explained. "So, it's standing on a pedestal?" Pelley asked. "Standing, well, that's a nice way to put it, [5:58: clip: Scott: P.H.M.] standing on a pedestal," Goldberger replied.



[View video](#)

Leslie's segment on a new fuel cell was relatively geo-benign; that is, until it's the last minute; when she raised two fingers -- one to generate the 'we're gonna f _ _ k you up good' (Powell M.) message -- and placed each of them on her temples to create a double-handed Richie Santelli M.. Doing so sent another message how insistent the coalition is that its lawful demands be met; and if necessary lethal military force will be used to liberate Canada and the 'Iron Fist' accountability tribunal empowered to render death penalty verdicts.

The segment was scripted to run **13:11** to insert a Taylor Identifier in the 9/11 acronym for the September 11th attacks. This links what Taylor Swift, the coalition's 2009 Partner of the Year, represents – everything that is to be exalted and celebrated in humanity – with the opposite: terror, pain, suffering and death.

The lexiconic gesture is added @ **12:44** – a coalition and China identifier – and timed to her prediction about the new fuel cell: “I see you seeing a Bloom Box in the basement of the White House”, which articulates how everyone on the authoritarian side of the ideological divide is cognizant of the coalition’s lethal intentions.



[View video](#)

'60 Minutes': The Geo-Stakes Involved and Documentation of On-Going Malfeasance Against the Canadian Lawyer Compels Coalition Partners to Follow *Fiefdom* Treatise Authorship

Producers and cast of this iconic investigative journalism program proved yet again why it deserved the 2009 Geo Uber-Achievement Award. Week after week since the spring of 2006, they've embedded communiqués in virtually every single segment of every single episode. And on March 14, 2010 they did it again to underscore the seriousness of the Chinada threat, especially emanating from north of the 49th Parallel.

The global financial crisis and especially how some of America's largest financial institutions caused it have so many parallels that can be bootstrapped into condemning what's being happening in Canada. Producers found another way to articulate condemnation for *Fiefdom* treatise exposed institutional corruption, criminality and human rights violations and helping Chinese imperialism with that misfeasance. The intro to the March 14th segment on the subject begins with coercive diplomacy. Steve Kroft then uses the lexiconic constituent for two decades of enslaving human experimentation to red flag a remark that directs attention to the treatise; and does so again employing a combination coalition identifier and China identifier.

Additionally, the graphics behind him are geo-genius in describing the decline of Chinada and reasons for it. There are a compensation ratifying **five** chart bars observable at the start of the script and a coalition identifying **three** moments into it - identifying the Canadian lawyer and the partnership.

[0:00: 60 MM] If you had to pick someone to write the autopsy report on the Wall Street financial collapse 18 months ago, you couldn't do any better than Michael Lewis. He is one of the country's preeminent non-fiction writers with a knack for turning complicated, mind numbing material into fascinating yarns.

[0:20: 60 MM] He wrote his first bestseller, "Liar's Poker," about his experiences as a young Wall Street bond trader when he was still in his 20s and has since followed up with seven more bestsellers on subjects ranging from Silicon Valley in "The New New Thing" to big time sports in "Money Ball" and "The Blind Side."

His new book [0:38: 60 MM], called "The Big Short: Inside the Doomsday Machine," comes out later this week and it explains how some of Wall Street's finest minds managed to destroy \$1.75 trillion of wealth in the subprime mortgage markets. We spent two days debriefing Lewis at his home in California.



[View video](#)

Leslie's segment on a savant pianist began with an intro that had the same geo-flavor as Steve's. It started with this, which articulates how the seriousness of the Chinada threat and Canada's participation in it, plus the ongoing criminality and human rights violations experienced by the Canadian lawyer, keeps coalition partners riveted:

[0:00] There are some people we meet in our 60 Minutes stories we just can't let go [60 MM] whose next chapter we're almost compelled to follow, like [60 MM] Derek.



60 Minutes: Adding Another Initiative to the Diplomacy Archive Relating to the Canadian Lawyer's International Persona

How would you react in the short and long term when invited into the most exclusive club in the world – one whose membership spans the biggest movers and shakers on the planet; comprising world leaders, military commanders, intelligence chiefs, royalty, the uber-wealthy, multinational executives and the most high profile and successful in the fields of news, academia, entertainment and sports? And then ask yourself how you'd behave when embraced, appreciated and admired by them and, additionally, showered with the most prestigious honors and awards civilization has; and, additionally, repeatedly guaranteed billions for your and your family's personal use? If the intensity of this attention and its duration spanned what is approaching a decade got the best of you then you're like most people and you wouldn't be faulted.

Descriptions used by coalition partners from April '06 to August '08 to articulate the Canadian lawyer's character and value to their interests and objectives include, as documented in *The Creation of an International Persona: Part I* "icon", "genius", "the latest in a series of powerful men", "legendary", "the biggest star right now", "philosopher king", "the most famous in the world", "hero", "literary legend", [made] "amazing achievements", "extraordinary guy", "tremendous", "one of the most admired in the world", "great fame", "a mystic quality and is a story we will always find fascinating forever", "has shown its true mettle", one of the "most inspiring and greatest leader[s] of [the next] generation", "represents the absolute best", "a household name", "when [he] speaks everyone listens", "shaped history from one of the most powerful positions in the world", "in a league of its own", "getting bigger and taller as time goes on", "lawyer of the year", "he's one of the most important viewers [of our television network] we have", "wise counsel", "climbed the ladder of success about as far as it could be climbed", "great big star", "of all the stories in Hollywood, there is not another one like [it]", "stellar investigative skills", "play[ing] a pivotal role in world affairs", "one of the most brilliant minds on Wall Street", "world famous", "number one", "[has] an extremely

important role”, “political icon”, “a senior member of a political dynasty”. “making a powerful impact on the world” and “the most remarkable political figure of our time”.

Coalition partners molded, shaped and reinforced his persona to acknowledge what he did and sacrificed. His academic work and political contributions led to the Nobel Prize, Presidential Medal of Freedom, the U.S. Humanities Medal and the Walter Cronkite Award for Excellence.

**President Obama’s Post-Election Press Conference & ‘60 Minutes’
Interview: One *and Only One* Articulated Foreign Policy:
The Chinada Threat**

and

The Element of Surprise When Triggering Regime Change in Canada

Regime change is widely known throughout the Chinada High Command and every segment of Canadian society that promotes and protects its policies and practices to be inevitable. What isn’t known at all is how it’s going to occur. That is currently a well-kept secret. Doing so takes advantage of a strategy that dates back millennia – the element of surprise. It’s a tactical opportunity only the militarily incompetent willingly give up.

In any battle this approach can provide an overwhelming advantage. Even when face to face with an enemy, surprise can still be employed by attacking where he least anticipates. To do this you must create an expectation in the enemy’s mind through the use of a feint (i.e., a tactical move to deceive an adversary).

General Wáng Jìngzé, *Thirty-Six Stratagems*
(A.D. 479-502)

The coalition has always balanced transparency with keeping confidential that which must be to ensure success in advancing its interests and objectives. When it comes to the Chinada High Command as opposed to the public, transmitting trepidations and intention has been a hallmark of the partnership from the outset of informal diplomacy (‘Dogville’ and ‘The Manchurian Candidate’) and formally articulated mid-summer 2006: *60 Minutes: Coalition Communiqués on Plundered Wealth Repatriation, Asset Seizure, Global Hypno-Torture and Transparency*.

The day after the U.S. mid-term elections President Obama held an hour-long press conference; and a testament to just how serious his administration and coalition partners take the Chinada threat there was one – only one – reference to foreign affairs issues: Chinada. There was nothing on Afghanistan and the war on terror;

nothing on Iran or North Korea; only that which truly threatens the peace, security and prosperity of the 21st century.

The use of the 'we're gonna f _ _ k you up real good' Powell M. in a room full of national and international press itself was a reminder how fearful the partnership is about the new Pandora's Box; a compilation of technologies, techniques, strategies and tactics that can effectively and surreptitiously undermine and co-opt democratic, military, intelligence and capitalist institutions. Over the years world leaders have been tenaciously pursuing multilateral agreements and securing the necessary authorizations to build a defensive posture – a process that naturally takes time. And while that's been underway the malfeasants have persisted in pushing the human experimentation envelope knowing they were being monitored and a case was being prepared against them even if it wasn't known in what forum of accountability they'd be held responsible.

There were two communiqués a week and a half apart that in combination advise those vehemently opposed to democracy, rule of law, transparency, accountability, human rights and free markets the U.S. Congress has formally taken a step that address the global threat. During the introduction of a '60 Minutes' segment on October 24, 2010 ([View video](#)), Scott Pelley employed the lexicon and producers edited it to create a China identifier to "Congress [0:19; 60 MM] did something it never did before". Then the President said this on November 3rd clearly indicates without specifics that tough action was authorized by Congress that when known by the public will be unpopular:

The toughest thing over the last couple of days is seeing really terrific public servants not have the opportunity to serve anymore, at least in the short term. And you mentioned there are just some [31:54: Powell-Z-J M.] terrific members of Congress who took really tough votes because they thought it was the right thing.



[View video](#)

The day after that he sat down with Steve Kroft for a lengthy and penetrating discussion about the election; broadcast on November 7th; and again only one foreign policy issue was raised during this lengthy interview. Highlighted through coordinated scripting and editing was the conversation they had before the cameras rolled – the Canadian lawyer’s predicament and what that means to world peace, security and prosperity – and when they were the level of priority the Obama administration places on containing China and fixing Canada:

Kroft: The mood [today as opposed to two years ago] was different. We [0:**20**: 60 MM] talked about Tuesday's vote, the economy and where he goes from here.

[...]

President: [2:40: B.I.M.] There is no doubt that folks are concerned with debt and deficits. And *I think that is an absolute priority* and by the way that's a concern I had before I was even sworn in.



[View video](#)

The U.S. leader articulated the partnership's unanimous view that what's going on north of the 49th Parallel is profoundly wrong, and being such necessitates American and coalition intervention:

President: Part of [fallacy about the election results] is the belief that If I just communicated things better that I'd be able to persuade that half of the country that voted for John McCain that [**8:04**: Pfeiffer M.] we were right and they were wrong.



[View video](#)

The lexicon's use began with the segment's runtime: **12:38** – a double coalition identifier and China identifier.

[View video](#)

'60 Minutes': The Canadian Lawyer is Canada's Leader-in-Waiting

The coalition has drawn an historic and professional parallel between Mahatma Gandhi, Nelson Mandela and the Canadian lawyer. All three were lawyers compelled by the circumstances of their time and the nature of government in each country – India, South Africa and Canada – to engage in fundamental reform. There is one critical difference, however. Unlike the two other Commonwealth barristers, the Canadian didn't voluntarily and *volenti* accept the challenge. He was forced to in order to escape an enslaved, torturous hell on Earth.

Hollywood joined the fight against imperialistic authoritarianism and the proliferation of stealth cognition technologies beginning with 'Dogville' and 'The Manchurian Candidate'. As the diplomacy archive clearly shows, it regularly contributed to the effort to contain China, fix Canada and eradicate the 21st century's Pandora's Box. 'Invictus' is another initiative designed for these purposes.

Most all geo-politicized films aren't viewed and diplomacy not documented and archived until they come out on DVD or television. Thus, it wouldn't be until the first weekend of October 2010 that 'Invictus' was watched. And most coincidentally the following day, Sunday, '60 Minutes' broadcast a segment on the former President of South Africa. It too is a testament to how much the coalition considers the Canadian the next leader of America's northern neighbor.

Beyond what was red flagged by the lexicon as geo-relevant (see *infra*), there are some parallels worth noting. For example, Bob Simon refers to the fact that while in jail Mandela "organize[d] the prisoners into a government in waiting"; that he "never doubted that the racist regime would be overthrown"; and "back then, he started preparing". The same goes for the Canadian lawyer. One of the reasons for the edification and accountability initiatives beyond identifying those who would face justice for violations of domestic and international law was to find out who was on the malfeasant side of the institutional 'Chinese Wall' and who wasn't and therefore recruitable and trustworthy enough to help form the transition government from authoritarianism to democracy.

Another portion of the segment dealt with the subject of how the malfeasant are to be treated when regime change was effected:

[Mandela] got a visit from a general who was intent on putting Mandela in his place. And he says to Mandela, 'Mandela? You better remember you are a prisoner.' And in a very polite way he says to him, 'General, you and I may be generals on the opposite side of this war. At some point even if it is to accept the surrender from the other we'll have to meet. And how we treat each other now will determine how we interact at that moment'.

The Canadian lawyer has made it unambiguously clear over and over that the prosecution and sentencing philosophy will be “no mitigation, no mercy, no exceptions”. And in response he got back “it’s all or nothing”.

Another reference that’s relevant is Mandela’s competence. The Mandela Foundation archivist pointed out that when the authorities tried to compromise him “in ways that would have been irredeemable”, “he didn't make one mistake”. Similarly, the Canadian was faced with innumerable circumstances that would have jeopardized the reform and accountability agenda. They involved how he responded to on-going hypno-torture, death threats and other activities that sought to provoke him. He always remained cool, calm and collected and reacted in ways that prevented another psych ward committal. And when it came to his coalition partners, his clients, he always treated them equally despite disparities involving their respective stations in life, never deviated from holding them in high esteem and always strove for competence, trustworthiness and surpassing expectations. He too never erred during the long and arduous road to regime change.

Mandela’s archivist when asked "How do you explain that incredible confidence?" replies with "I think from very early on he had a very strong sense of destiny" and “one day he [8:00: Bl.M.] would be called President Mandela”.

Different about South Africa and Canada is how Mandela treated the old guard. He hired from the rank and file and “wanted his old oppressors to know they'd be okay in the new South Africa”. Due to the nature of the Chinada threat that solution is not applicable. In possession of the 21st century’s Pandora’s Box, stealth cognition technologies, not incarcerating them *en masse* will lead to them picking up in another country where they were forced to leave off – a jurisdiction in which the elite there wants the latest technologies and techniques to oppress, torture, torment and threaten its people and help them help China pursue its global ambitions.

The lexicon’s use began with the segment’s runtime: **12:38** – a double coalition identifier and China identifier.

[View video](#)

Eight seconds into the intro Bob employs a Taylor Identifier to compare Mandela’s international persona with the Canadian lawyer’s. Moments later he draws attention to how some coalition partners want to disclose to the world that which threatens its peace, security and prosperity:

Nelson Mandela may well be the most admired human being alive. It's difficult to imagine who could [0:08: T.M.] compete with him for the title. He went from being prisoner to president and, in the process, became an icon. But he is such a private man that we know very little about what he felt and thought throughout the 92 years of his life. [0:22: 60 MM] That is, until now.


A minute later when the democracy icon is observed in the company of and engaging school children the lexicon is employed to generate the communiqué that the malfasant are going to be "captured" – in other words arrested, prosecuted, convicted and sentenced:

That's the Mandela we've seen so little of - the Mandela who is [1:25: Branson M.] captured in "Conversations with Myself." The book project began with an extraordinary mandate from him: take my personal archives and do what you want with them.



The archivist describes how the South Africans tried to compromise Mandela's integrity; and his words were turned into coercive diplomacy:

The regime [...] tried to undermine Mandela in the most insidious way: he was moved to a private house in a prison on the mainland, equipped with a chef and a swimming pool. Officials came to see him, brought along a case of wine, and tempted him to sell out. "This was the key moment for me in Nelson Mandela's life. This was when he was most at risk, [7:07: B.I.M.] because he starts negotiating from prison on his own. He's incredibly vulnerable," Verne Harris explained.



"I think from very early on he had a very strong sense of destiny. And there was almost a sense that he knew he needed to go to prison before coming out to do the work that awaited him," he replied.

"He knew before he was captured, that one day he [8:00:; Bl.M.] would be called President Mandela?" Simon asked.

"I think so," Harris said.



When interviewing one of his predecessor's staff, Bob Simon used another Blair M. to articulate how coalition partners, both members and the invited, were "shocked" at what they learned about the Canadian lawyer's predicament; especially the fact it persisted over a twenty year period:

"And he stopped me and he started speaking in Afrikaans with me," La Grange remembered. "Which is my home language. And I didn't understand a word he was saying because I was so shocked. [9:13: Bl.M.] There was a feeling of guilt immediately overtaking my emotions that I felt responsible for taking away so many years of his life." [8:24: Bl.M.]



Producers combined a description of what such an international persona as Mandela and the Canadian lawyer have delivered with a clip of Morgan Freeman visiting the former. He chose Canadian prison certainty to appear in.

It wasn't long before La Grange became his most trusted assistant. For the last 16 years, every pop star, politician, president or pope who wanted to see the great man knew enough to [10:02: Can pc] cozy up to Zelda La Grange.



The retired South African president didn't have an admirable personal life before incarceration. During that portion of the segment on this period of time a Taylor Identifier is added to underscore the immoral nature of Canadian governance and a coalition identifier to once again articulate how the community of democracy, rule of law and human rights advocates is going to effect a "divorce" of the geo-marriage between China and Canada that was consummated in the late 1970s.

And Mandela was the first to admit [...] he'd "led a thoroughly immoral life." [10:58: C.I.] His first wife divorced him, at least in part because of his alleged infidelities.

Scott Pelley & Steve Kroft: The '60 Minutes' Coercive Diplomacy Go-To Guys

Over time one gets to know the personalities and diplomatic dispositions of regular contributors very well. Some are staunchly committed to coalition interests and objectives but don't have the stomach for what clearly on the evidence is required to protect the peace, security and prosperity of the 21st century from those who have devolved into nothing more than unrecyclable human trash. Others are just as tenaciously resolved to containing China and fixing

Canada and wouldn't hesitate to convict and assess the death penalty or authorize lethal force military action.

Amongst those who work at '60 Minutes' there is one investigative journalist who doesn't hold his punches when it comes to what ought to happen to those who not only threatened the United States and its coalition allies, but also mocked the partnership for what it stood for, persisted with hypno-torture in the face of international condemnation, pushed stealth cognition technologies R&D envelop, threatened the coalition's Canadian agent daily and often hourly with assassination and twice tried to do just that with what to the public would have looked like a car accident.

That man is Scott Pelley. He's obviously a no-nonsense, shoot-from-the-hit, retributive justice's 'eye for an eye' kind of guy who's seen enough in his decades working for CBS, including his share of dictators and gangsters, that there's really only one way to deal with 'em – terminate their lives so the world, nations and communities can live in peace.

A search of the archive reveals his first geo-segment was on the Italian Mafia as documented in *Attorney General and Minister of Justice Robert Nicholson Utters a Death Threat* (March 9, 2009).

In *60 Minutes: Coalition Communiqués on Plundered Wealth Repatriation, Asset Seizure, Global Hypno-Torture and Transparency* (April 19, 2009) he put the richest of the malfeasant on notice their assets are forfeit:

One segment on April 19, 2009 was about 401(k) accounts – one of three ways Americans saved for retirement. Steve Kroft began it with powerful communiqués – ones that go to the heart of halting Chinada's military posture: asset seizure and repatriating trillions of dollars of Canada's wealth (as documented in *Repatriating Canada's Plundered Wealth: An Anti-Chinada Coalition Commitment*). This was money planned to in part fund China's global hegemony bid. The 60 Minutes correspondent chose the Powell M. to highlight how depriving the belligerent malfeasant of this money will really 'f _ _k 'em up good':

The effects of the current economic crisis have touched everyone. Even if you still have a good job and a paid up mortgage, chances are your monthly 401(k) statement will remind you that you've lost a good chunk [0:14: Powell M.] of your savings.

[60 MM] Trillions of dollars have evaporated from those accounts that have become the prime source of retirement funds for a majority of American workers, affecting their psyche and their future. If you are still young enough, there's time to rebuild and recover, but if you are in your 50s, 60s or beyond the consequences can be dire, and its drawing attention to the shortcomings of a retirement system that has

jeopardized the financial security of tens of millions of people. [0:43: embellished W-G-K torture identifier X2]

[View video](#)

In *60 Minutes: Another Communiqué Threatening Lethal Military Force to Achieve Objectives* (May 10, 2009) he got more diplomatically coercive:

Steve Kroft's segment was on a secret agent who is thought to have been a double agent in the 1960s aiding both Israel and Egypt. His introduction was also geo-politicized. The backdrop was rendered in the colors of Chinada and he executed a lexiconic gesture to indicate not that the use of coalition forces is imminent – that is well known by both sides of the conflict, but rather the timing of it is unknown:

[60 MM] Thirty-five years ago, the armies of Egypt and Syria launched a surprise attack against the state of Israel.

During an interview of the slain spy's son, he used the lexicon twice to red flag the threat of using lethal force to achieve stated objectives:

[Erin M.] Who would have a motive to kill him [Powell M.]?

[View video](#)

This in *60 Minutes: Chinada Malfasant and Anyone Seeking to Assist or Protect Them are 'Going to Learn the Hard Way'* (August 9, 2009)

'60 Minutes' also high profiled the first anniversary of the slaying of an innocent American citizen by scripting the August 9th intro to Steve Kroft's segment with the communiqué that Canada's malfasant are going to learn what it means to defy the international community the hard way. Since there's been no capitulation the only option on the table is to use military force, rendition and empanel the 'Iron Fist' accountability tribunal.

The message also goes out to those who might try to protect them from international justice, like the country's federal, provincial and municipal police services. They are no match for the seasoned vets of real war and the super-high technologies at their disposal. Canada's law enforcement community is completely unprepared to challenge them having experience only related to street and gang violence. It is suicide to try and repel them with force.

For American corporations, the rewards of doing business abroad are enormous, but so are the risks. And over the past 25 years no place has been more perilous than Colombia, a country that is just beginning to emerge from the throes of civil war and narco-terrorism. [0:17: 60 MM] Chiquita Brands International of Cincinnati, Ohio, found out the hard way. It made millions growing bananas there, only to emerge

with its reputation splattered in blood after acknowledging it had paid nearly \$2 million in protection money to a murderous paramilitary group that has killed or massacred thousands of people.

[View video](#)

This in *60 Minutes: A Segment With a Lethal Military Force and Capital Punishment Communiqué; and Updating the Interpretation of Dogville's Final Scene* (October 27, 2009):

For the season opener '60 Minutes' producers embedded the 'kill' portion of the 'kill and capture' methodology of objective attainment in Steve's introduction to a segment on how the estates of deceased celebrities are making money.

When Michael Jackson [0:02: 60 MM] died this past summer, he had nearly a half a billion dollars in debts. Since then, it's been a great year for his career: lawyers for his estate say they have lined up merchandising deals worth \$100 million, and surging record sales and other income will produce another \$100 million.

And this is not unusual. [0:21: 60 MM] Decades after their demise, some departed stars continue to work on new projects and draw more income than they ever made while drawing breath. And there is a growing legion of agents and managers willing to represent them.

[0:35: 60 MM] Dead celebrities can be just as lucrative as many live ones, and in some cases, a lot less trouble.

[View video](#)

This in *60 Minutes: The Geo-Stakes Involved and the Documentation of On-Going Malfeasance Against the Canadian Lawyer Compels Coalition Partners to Follow Fiefdom Treatise Authorship* (March 14, 2010):

[0:00: 60 MM] If you had to pick someone to write the autopsy report on the Wall Street financial collapse 18 months ago, you couldn't do any better than Michael Lewis. He is one of the country's preeminent non-fiction writers with a knack for turning complicated, mind numbing material into fascinating yarns.

[...]

His new book [0:38: 60 MM], called "The Big Short: Inside the Doomsday Machine," comes out later this week and it explains how some of Wall Street's finest minds managed to destroy \$1.75 trillion of wealth in the subprime mortgage markets. We spent two days debriefing Lewis at his home in California.

This in *Coalition Diplomacy Continues as Aggressively as Ever Throughout Autumn 2010* (October 2, 2010):


After that coercive diplomacy in the form of a 'gun to the temple' Richie-Santelli M. was chosen to articulate how the wealth is going to be forced out of the malfessant:

[6:08: R-S M.] misplaced nine billion dollars.



[...]

Another confirmation of having massive funds to repair the damage caused by the ravages of authoritarianism was inserted here:



Scott: You don't consider it to be a favor to your kids [7:55: R-S M.] to give them enormous wealth?

Again, producers identify the need of lethal force if it's necessary to get control of that which will help millions of Canadian victims:

... [R-S M.] and they're okay with giving the money away.


Now comes what's documented in *Coalition Diplomacy Continues as Aggressively as Ever Throughout Mid-Winter 2011* (February 20, 2011):

Steve Kroft's intro to 'The King's Speech' segment included a 60 MM. timed to "[he] was a man, who in the 1930s, desperately did not want to become king", used to high profile the Custodian's desire not to be the public leader of Canada. The second was very diplomatically coercive, with him employing a 'gun to the temple' Richie-Santelli M. during his interview of the lead actor in the movie timed [@ 11:48] to "Did you have the thought at that early age this is what I want to do?".



[View video](#)

Steve doesn't have a monopoly on this kind of coercive diplomacy. For example, fellow investigative journalist Morley Safer's used the 'gun to the temple' gesture and the Execution M. too as documented in '*60 Minutes*': *Geo-Politicizing its Segment on Director James Cameron Which Further Proves the Film's a Diplomacy Medium*.





60 Minutes: A Reminder of Canada's Gangster Governance

The intentional failings and systemic dysfunctionalities in two successive White Houses were interpreted by the Chinada High Command as weakness, indecisiveness and incompetence and emboldened it in a manner that made it an even greater threat to the peace, security and prosperity of the 21st century. One of the components of that menace is what the coalition labeled 'gangster governance' – a paradigm of rule that employs intimidation, death threats and murder to advance and protect interests in political power maintenance and prosperity embezzlement.

That this type of rule has been successful in Canada, perceived to be a peaceful and prosperous nation by its citizens and the world, and continued unabated despite Gatling-gun style coercive diplomacy since May 2006 is demonstrative of what will globally proliferate and used by second and third world elites should the Chinada High Command not be held to account and subject to institutional reform.

Unlike some descriptions of how the country operates that derive from the *Fiefdom* treatise author's extensive research and personal experiences, the geo-concept of 'gangster governance' was introduced by '60 Minutes' in late 2006 when it produced a segment on Italy's mafia; drawing comparisons between the notorious criminal organization and Canada's so-called parliamentary system. It's documented in '*60 Minutes: Drawing Comparisons Between the Italian Mafia and Canada's Government* [December 10, '06]. Producers restated the case during a segment first airing on July 27, 2008 on a reputed New York hit man (and rebroadcast as documented on July 27th). The May 22, 2011 episode involved Anderson Cooper interviewing a former FBI agent who was accused of violating laws and protocols when his law enforcement team retained the services of a high ranking crime family member who continued his murderous ways while on the payroll. There is much use of the coercive diplomacy approach to dealing with the arrogantly belligerent Chinada High Command.

DeVecchio: That is what they were paying me to do [0:59: Anderson: R-S M.]. I got close to members of organized crime so we could eliminate them.

[...]

Anderson: The whole time you're meeting with him during the war as an informant he's also [5:14: Devecchio: Cl.M.] going around killing people.

DeVecchio: Yes he is. That's correct.

Anderson: [5:18: R-S M.] And did he know that at the time?

DeVecchio: Sure.

[...]

DeVecchio: I went to him and asked him. I said 'do you know where he is?'. He said "I don't know where he is Lin". Was he lying to me? Maybe. but he looked me in the eye said 'I don't know where he is Lin'. [7:11: Cl.M.].

[...]

Anderson: [voiceover] The FBI launched an internal investigation on DeVecchio [7:16: Erin M.] that went on for years...

[...]

The judge who released DeVecchio criticized him and the FBI for making a quote deal with the devil letting Scarpa get away with his crimes for close to 15 years. [7:52: shot #1] DeVecchio retired [#2] from the FBI in 1996 and now runs a [#3] private investigations business.

[...]

Anderson: You basically gave this guy a pass because he was giving you good information.

DeVecchio: If that's the perception that people have so be it. I can't change their mind.

Anderson: But is that the truth?

DeVecchio: No, I don't think it's the truth at all.

Anderson: You kept him out on the streets; a guy who you know at the time was murdering people.

DeVecchio: It's wonderful to sit in your ivory tower somewhere and say how can you do that? Why don't you go out on the street [8:34: Cl.M.] and try workin' that sometime. Try makin' a case.

[View video](#)

Adding to the foregoing was Scott Pelley's segment on a world class cyclist and former teammate of Lance Armstrong. The intro was used to convey the often referred to significance and value of the Custodian as coalition witness for all things MK-Ultra and gangster governance. Producers referred to Lance a coalition-identifying **three** times and employed the Sorkin China identifier to generate this communiqué.

Lance *Armstrong* won the Super Bowl of cycling seven times. But now *Armstrong* is the focus of a federal investigation into performance enhancing drugs. A Grand Jury in Los Angeles has been hearing secret testimony from some of *Armstrong's* former teammates on the U.S. Postal Service team. [0:**28**: 60 MM] One of the prime witnesses is [X].

[View video](#)